



# Contents

<b>3.15</b>	<b>Air Quality and Energy.....</b>	<b>3.15-1</b>
3.15.1	REGULATORY CONTEXT.....	3.15-1
3.15.2	METHODOLOGY .....	3.15-5
3.15.3	AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT .....	3.15-6
3.15.4	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE EFFECTS.....	3.15-8
3.15.5	CONSTRUCTION EFFECTS .....	3.15-13
3.15.6	REASONABLY FORESEEABLE INDIRECT EFFECTS.....	3.15-14
3.15.7	MITIGATION.....	3.15-14
3.15.8	BUILD ALTERNATIVES COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT .....	3.15-14

## TABLES

TABLE 3.15-1.	State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards.....	3.15-2
TABLE 3.15-2.	Maui Ambient Air Monitoring Data .....	3.15-7
TABLE 3.15-3.	Distance to the Closest Sensitive Receptor.....	3.15-12

## FIGURES

FIGURE 3.15-1.	FHWA-Projected National MSAT Emission Trends for Vehicles Operating on Roadways (2020 to 2060).....	3.15-5
FIGURE 3.15-2.	Comparison of Distance to Residences in Olowalu.....	3.15-10
FIGURE 3.15-3.	Comparison of Distance to Residences in Ukumehame.....	3.15-11





---

## 3.15 AIR QUALITY AND ENERGY

---

This section assesses the potential adverse effects of the Honoapiʻilani Highway Improvements Project (the Project) on air quality. Additionally, the section evaluates the energy demand associated with construction and operation of the Project.

“Air pollution” generally refers to one or more chemical substances that degrade the quality of the atmosphere. Air pollutants degrade the atmosphere by reducing visibility, damaging property, reducing the productivity or vigor of crops or natural vegetation, and reducing human or animal health. “Air quality” describes the degree to which the ambient air is pollution-free, which is assessed by the measured or calculated amount of air pollution the public is exposed to in the environment.

Energy consumed during the construction and operation of transportation projects is closely tied to air quality because it generates emissions. Energy is used during construction to manufacture materials, transport materials, and operate machinery. Energy used during a project includes fuel consumed by vehicles in a project area and energy for signals, lighting, and maintenance. Operational energy consumption depends on the number of vehicle-miles traveled (VMT) and travel conditions such as vehicle type, speed of travel, roadway grade, and pavement type (including the intent to use carbon injected concrete pavement).

Following publication of the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), the public was afforded an opportunity to review and comment on the effects of the Project with respect to air quality and energy. As part of this Final EIS, the analysis contained within this section was revised to reflect those comments, or other information gathered after the publication of the Draft EIS.

### 3.15.1 Regulatory Context

Air quality in the United States is governed by the federal Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §7401-7671q, and is administered by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). The Clean Air Act directs the USEPA to implement environmental policies and regulations that will ensure acceptable levels of air quality. Air quality within Hawaiʻi is further regulated by the State of Hawaiʻi Department of Health (HDOH).

On January 20, 2025, President Trump signed Executive Order (E.O.) 14148 –Initial Rescissions of Harmful Executive Orders and Actions and E.O. 14154 – Unleashing American Energy. The E.O.s revoked E.O. 13990 – Protecting Public Health and the Environment and Restoring Science to Tackle the Climate Crisis (January 20, 2021) and E.O. 14008 – Tackling the Climate Crisis at Home and Abroad (January 27, 2021). Subsequently on January 29, 2025, Secretary Duffy signed a Memorandum for Secretarial Offices and Heads of Operating Administrations – Implementation of Executive Orders Addressing Energy, Climate Change, Diversity, and Gender. On February 25, 2025, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) published an Interim Final Rule removing the CEQ’s National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) implementing regulations, effective April 11, 2025 (90 Fed. Reg. 10610). As a result of these actions, FHWA will not include greenhouse gas emissions and climate change analyses in the federal environmental review process. Any purported greenhouse gas emissions and climate change impacts were not considered in the federal decision. Accordingly, no



greenhouse gas emissions or climate change analyses are included in this assessment of air quality and energy.

### 3.15.1.1 Criteria Pollutant Ambient Air Quality Standards

To protect public health and welfare, the USEPA and HDOH developed National and State Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS, SAAQS) for the following criteria pollutants:

- Ozone
- Nitrogen dioxide
- Carbon monoxide
- Particulate matter less than 10 microns and 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, respectively)<sup>1</sup>
- Sulfur dioxide
- Lead
- Hydrogen sulfide<sup>2</sup>

TABLE 3.15-1 presents the ambient air quality standards. These are the maximum pollutant concentration levels the USEPA and HDOH deemed to be protective of human health and the environment.

TABLE 3.15-1. State and National Ambient Air Quality Standards

AIR POLLUTANT	AVERAGING TIME	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS		
		HAWAI'I STATE STANDARD	NATIONAL PRIMARY STANDARD <sup>A</sup>	NATIONAL SECONDARY STANDARD <sup>B</sup>
Carbon Monoxide	1-hour	9 ppm	35 ppm	None
	8-hour	4.4 ppm	9 ppm	
Nitrogen Dioxide	1-hour	—	100 ppb	—
	Annual	0.04 ppm	53 ppb	0.053 ppm
PM <sub>10</sub>	24-hour	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	150 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Annual <sup>c</sup>	50 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	—	—
PM <sub>2.5</sub>	24-hour	—	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	35 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
	Annual		9 µg/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>d</sup>	15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Ozone	8-hour	0.08 ppm	0.070 ppm	0.070 ppm
Sulfur Dioxide	1-hour	—	75 ppb	—
	3-hour	0.5 ppm	—	0.5 ppm
	24-hour	0.14 ppm	—	—
	Annual	0.03 ppm	—	—

<sup>1</sup> National standard only

<sup>2</sup> State standard only





AIR POLLUTANT	AVERAGING TIME	AMBIENT AIR QUALITY STANDARDS		
Lead	Rolling 3-month	1.5 µg/m <sup>3</sup> <sup>e</sup>	0.15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>	0.15 µg/m <sup>3</sup>
Hydrogen Sulfide	1-hour	25 ppb	None	None

Source: State of Hawaiʻi Department of Health. 2022. *State of Hawaii Annual Summary: 2021 Air Quality Data*. December. [https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook\\_2021.pdf](https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook_2021.pdf).

<sup>a</sup> Federal Primary Standards set limits to protect public health, including the health of “sensitive” populations such as asthmatics, children, and the elderly.

<sup>b</sup> Federal Secondary Standards set limits to protect public welfare, including protection against decreased visibility, damage to animals, crops, vegetation, and buildings.

<sup>c</sup> Due to a lack of evidence linking health problems to long-term exposure to coarse particle pollution, the USEPA revoked the annual PM<sub>10</sub> standard effective December 17, 2006. However, the State of Hawaiʻi still has an annual standard.

<sup>d</sup> USEPA revised the primary annual PM<sub>2.5</sub> standard from 12.0 mg/m<sup>3</sup> to 9.0 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, effective May 6, 2024 (40 CFR 50.20).

<sup>e</sup> The State of Hawaiʻi standard is based on calendar quarter.

ppb = parts per billion

ppm = parts per million

The Clean Air Act, Section 107, requires the USEPA to publish a list of geographic areas that are not in compliance with the NAAQS. These are called nonattainment areas. Unclassified areas have insufficient data for a determination and are treated as attainment areas (areas that are compliant with the NAAQS) until proven otherwise. An area’s designation is pollutant-specific.

The HDOH also regulates emissions of fugitive dust. Per Hawaiʻi Administrative Rules (HAR) Chapter 11-60.1-33(a) and (b), Fugitive Dust, “no person shall cause or permit visible fugitive dust to become airborne without taking reasonable precautions,” and “no person shall cause or permit the discharge of visible fugitive dust beyond the property lot line on which the fugitive dust originates.”

### 3.15.1.2 Mobile Source Air Toxics

The USEPA also regulates the following mobile source air toxics (MSATs), which are compounds known or suspected of causing cancer or other serious health effects:

- 1,3-butadiene
- Acetaldehyde
- Acrolein
- Benzene
- Diesel particulate matter
- Ethylbenzene
- Formaldehyde
- Naphthalene
- Polycyclic organic matter

The USEPA set standards on fuel composition, vehicle exhaust emissions, and evaporative losses from portable containers with the aim of reducing MSAT emissions.



The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) released its *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents* in January 2023.<sup>3</sup> Using the USEPA MOVES3 model (FIGURE 3.15-1), the FHWA estimates that even if national VMT increases by 31% from 2020 to 2060 as forecast, a combined reduction of 76% in the total annual emissions for the priority MSAT is projected for the same period.

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) Corporate Average Fuel Economy (CAFE) standards regulate how far vehicles must travel on a gallon of fuel. The NHTSA sets CAFE standards for passenger cars and for light trucks (collectively, light-duty vehicles), and separately sets fuel consumption standards for medium- and heavy-duty trucks and engines. CAFE standards were finalized in 2022 and require an industry-wide fleet average of approximately 49 miles per gallon for passenger cars and light trucks in model year 2026. This will be accomplished by increasing fuel efficiency 8% annually for model years 2024 and 2025, and 10% annually for model year 2026.<sup>4</sup>


---

<sup>3</sup> Federal Highway Administration. January 2023. *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents*.  
[https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\\_quality/air\\_toxics/policy\\_and\\_guidance/msat/fhwa\\_nepa\\_msat\\_memorandum\\_2023.pdf](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/air_toxics/policy_and_guidance/msat/fhwa_nepa_msat_memorandum_2023.pdf).

<sup>4</sup> National Highway Traffic Safety Administration. 2023. Corporate Average Fuel Economy. Accessed June 2023.  
<https://www.nhtsa.gov/laws-regulations/corporate-average-fuel-economy>.



FIGURE 3.15-1. FHWA-Projected National MSAT Emission Trends for Vehicles Operating on Roadways (2020 to 2060)



Source: EPA MOVES3 model runs conducted by the FHWA, March 2021. See footnote 4.

Note: Trends for specific locations may be different, depending on locally derived information representing vehicle-miles traveled, vehicle speeds, vehicle mix, fuels, emission control programs, meteorology, and other factors.

## 3.15.2 Methodology

### 3.15.2.1 Operations

Long-term impacts on air quality associated with the Project could result from future vehicle operation on the roadway. Motorized vehicles require energy to operate and affect air quality by emitting airborne criteria pollutants and MSATs. Changes in traffic volumes, travel patterns, vehicle mix, and roadway locations affect air quality and energy consumption by changing the number of vehicles and the congestion levels in a given area.



Regional criteria pollutant emissions and energy use associated with the Project were assessed qualitatively. In addition to potentially affecting regional criteria pollutant concentrations and energy use, realignment of the highway could place vehicles farther from or closer to existing or planned sensitive receptors. Sensitive receptors in the project area are limited to individual residences but more broadly can also include hospitals, schools, day care facilities, elderly housing, and convalescent facilities. These are areas where the occupants are more susceptible to the adverse effects of exposure to toxic chemicals, pesticides, and other pollutants. Extra care must be taken when dealing with contaminants and pollutants in proximity to sensitive receptors. Potential localized impacts to sensitive receptors were also assessed qualitatively.

The MSAT analysis was conducted according to the FHWA's latest guidance, *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents*.<sup>5</sup> The Project was analyzed qualitatively as a Tier 2 project, based on the FHWA's recommended tiering approach for projects with low potential for MSAT effects. This category includes projects that serve to improve operations of highway, transit, or freight without adding substantial new capacity or without creating a facility that is likely to meaningfully increase MSAT emissions.

### **3.15.2.2 Construction**

Air quality effects during roadway construction generally consist of short-term increases in fugitive dust and mobile source exhaust emissions from construction equipment. Additionally, construction requires short-term increases in energy consumption to power construction equipment, produce materials, and transport materials to a project site.

Fugitive dust is airborne particulate matter, generally of a relatively large particulate size. Haul trucks, concrete trucks, delivery trucks, and earth-moving vehicles operating around construction sites generate construction-related fugitive dust. Particulate matter that is resuspended by vehicle movement over paved and unpaved roads, dirt tracked onto paved surfaces from unpaved areas at access points, and material blown from uncovered haul trucks generate fugitive dust.

### **3.15.3 Affected Environment**

The regional and local environment, as well as human activity and emission sources in the area, influence the air quality in a given location. Abundant sunshine, regular northeast trade winds, relatively constant temperatures, and moderate humidity characterize leeward Maui's mild tropical environment. Mean monthly temperatures range from mid-80 degrees Fahrenheit in the summer months to low-70 degrees Fahrenheit during the winter. Annual average rainfall is less than 30 inches with most of the rainfall occurring between October and March.

The HDOH monitors the ambient air in Hawai'i to confirm that the NAAQS and SAAQS are met and then publishes an annual report. The HDOH operates two air monitoring stations on Maui, in Kīhei and Kahului, which measure the air quality impacts from commercial, industrial, transportation, and

---

<sup>5</sup> Federal Highway Administration. January 2023. *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents*. [https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\\_quality/air\\_toxics/policy\\_and\\_guidance/msat/fhwa\\_nepa\\_msat\\_memorandum\\_2023.pdf](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/air_toxics/policy_and_guidance/msat/fhwa_nepa_msat_memorandum_2023.pdf).



agricultural activities. The latest year of available data is 2021, and Hawaiʻi was in attainment of all NAAQS and SAAQS. This includes the project area.<sup>6</sup>

However, as the project area is prone to wildfires and the effects of statewide volcanic activity, localized conditions can create air quality concerns associated with temporarily elevated concentrations of particulates and other pollutants resulting from natural events. When pollutant concentrations are elevated, the State of Hawaiʻi and the USEPA issue air quality alerts as appropriate.<sup>7</sup> The USEPA may exclude these types of natural events from attainment determinations. Therefore, as specific occurrences, they are not expected to alter the overall attainment status for the project area or Hawaiʻi.

PM<sub>2.5</sub> is the only pollutant monitored at the air monitoring stations on Maui. Monitored concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> near the project area are well below the NAAQS (TABLE 3.15-2). As summarized in Appendix 3.15, the FHWA provides a methodology to complete air quality evaluations when there is incomplete or unavailable data given that overall air quality in the project area is affected primarily by emissions from vehicles, which generate criteria pollutant and MSAT emissions.

TABLE 3.15-2. **Maui Ambient Air Monitoring Data**

POLLUTANT		AVERAGING TIME		FORM	2019	2020	2021	SAAQS	NAAQS
KĪHEI (KH) A									
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [µg/m³]		24-hour		98th percentile	16.9	7.2	5.7	N/A	35
				3-year average	9.9				
		Annual		Annual average	4.1	2.9	2.5	N/A	12
				3-year average	3.2				
KAHULUI (KL) B									
PM <sub>2.5</sub> [µg/m³]		24-hour		98th percentile	7.6 c	7.1	7.3	N/A	35
				3-year average	N/A				
		Annual		Annual average	3.4 c	3.9	3.9	N/A	12
				3-year average	N/A				

Sources: State of Hawaiʻi Department of Health. 2022. State of Hawaii Annual Summary: 2021/2020/2019 Air Quality Data. [https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook\\_2021.pdf](https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook_2021.pdf)  
[https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/02/aqbook\\_2020\\_.pdf](https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/02/aqbook_2020_.pdf)  
[https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2021/07/aqbook\\_2019.pdf](https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2021/07/aqbook_2019.pdf)

<sup>a</sup> Monitoring data from the Kīhei station is used to make the annual attainment determination.

<sup>6</sup> State of Hawaiʻi Department of Health. 2022. *State of Hawaii Annual Summary: 2021 Air Quality Data*. Accessed June 2023. [https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook\\_2021.pdf](https://health.hawaii.gov/cab/files/2022/12/aqbook_2021.pdf).

<sup>7</sup> Hawaiʻi Short Term SO<sub>2</sub> Advisory ([hiso2index.info](https://hiso2index.info)) and <http://www.airnow.gov/>.



<sup>b</sup> The Kahului station is a Special Purpose Monitoring Station not used for attainment determination. Three-year average values are not available.

<sup>c</sup> Does not meet summary criteria, less than 75% data recovery in the first quarter, substitution test valid.

The United States Energy Information Administration publishes annual comprehensive state energy statistics via its State Energy Data System, which lists Hawaiʻi as having the fourth-lowest total energy use among the states and the third-lowest per capita energy consumption.<sup>8</sup> In 2021, the transportation sector accounted for 53% of the energy consumed in Hawaiʻi, mostly in the form of jet fuel and motor gasoline.

### **3.15.4 Reasonably Foreseeable Effects**

#### ***3.15.4.1 No Build Alternative***

Under the No Build Alternative, no changes to the existing roadway would occur. Overall annual average daily traffic (AADT) is projected to increase as a result of regional growth; however, emissions of criteria pollutants and MSATs are expected to decrease as a result of increased fuel economy and improved vehicle technology.

#### ***3.15.4.2 Build Alternatives***

##### **Common to All Build Alternatives for both Olowalu and Ukumehame**

On a regional basis, the Project would not change travel demand, vehicle mix, and AADT. With total new roadway lengths of 5.1 to 5.9 miles, there is little variation among the Build Alternatives and they would be either the same length or shorter than the 5.9-mile No Build Alternative. Thus, while local trip length may vary slightly between the No Build Alternative and each of the Build Alternatives, this results in negligible differences in total VMT and emissions.<sup>9</sup> Additionally, the Project would include exclusive left-turn lanes, which would increase vehicle speeds, reduce congestion, and decrease criteria pollutant emissions when compared to the No Build Alternative. The effects of these differences would be minor, and compared to the No Build Alternative, the Project would not result in a material change in regional criteria air pollutant burdens.

Based on this information, and accounting for Hawaiʻi's overall attainment status, the Project would not result in an adverse impact to regional air quality. Similarly, the Project would not materially change energy consumption.

While the Project alone would not change regional travel demand, realignment of the highway could place vehicles farther from or closer to existing or planned sensitive locations such as residences. Therefore, realignment could change the level of traffic-related emissions at nearby sensitive receptors. Effects at individual sensitive receptors would vary because realignment would move the roadway closer to some receptors and farther from others. **FIGURE 3.15-2** and **FIGURE 3.15-3** map the receptor sites for Olowalu and Ukumehame, respectively.

<sup>8</sup> U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2023. State Energy Data System: Hawaiʻi State Profile and Energy Estimates. <https://www.eia.gov/state/?sid=HI>.

<sup>9</sup> As calculated in EPA's MOVES emissions model used for regional analysis, criteria air pollutant emissions are a function of traffic volume, vehicle type, population mix, and speed.



As shown in TABLE 3.15-3, the distance between the highway and the closest sensitive receptor or receptors in either Olowalu or Ukumehame would increase with all the Build Alternatives when compared to the closest residences to the existing highway. Following publication of the Draft EIS, four new residences were identified in Ukumehame, two of which are located in close proximity to Build Alternative 4. Due to their proximity, both of these properties would be acquired in full to accommodate the right-of-way requirements for the Build Alternative 4 alignment and would therefore no longer be considered residential sensitive receptors. The distance between the existing highway and the other two new residences identified in Ukumehame would increase with all the Build Alternatives. Accordingly, given the state's attainment status, there are no expected air quality effects and the purpose of this data is to show that no individual receptor would be any closer to high traffic volumes than already exists for the closest receptors. Thus, relative air quality would not be worse and localized concentrations of traffic-related emissions at sensitive receptors are expected to be lower for the Build Alternatives when compared to the closest residences in the No Build Alternative.





FIGURE 3.15-2. Comparison of Distance to Residences in Olowalu








FIGURE 3.15-3. Comparison of Distance to Residences in Ukumehame






TABLE 3.15-3. Distance to the Closest Sensitive Receptor

ALTERNATIVE	RECEPTOR TYPE	APPROXIMATE DISTANCE (FEET)
<u>Closest Existing/No Build Receptor</u>	<u>Residence</u>	<u>60</u>
<b>OLOWALU</b>		
Build Alternative 1	Residence	130
Build Alternative 2	Residence	320
Build Alternative 3	Residence	380
Build Alternative 4	Residence	520
<b>UKUMEHAME</b>		
Build Alternative 1	Residence	210
Build Alternatives 2 and 3	Residence	330
Build Alternative 4	Residence	<u>470</u>

4

USEPA regulations for vehicle engines and fuels are anticipated to reduce overall emissions of criteria pollutants significantly over the next several decades, further reducing regional vehicle emissions and expected concentrations at nearby sensitive receptors.

For each alternative, the quantity of MSATs emitted would be proportional to the VMT, assuming that other variables such as fleet mix are the same for each alternative. As described above, vehicle mix would be the same for all alternatives and differences in VMT would be minor. Therefore, no appreciable difference in overall MSAT emissions among the various alternatives is expected. Additionally, MSAT emission rates would be lower for the Build Alternatives due to small increases in vehicle speeds resulting from reduced congestion; according to the USEPA's MOVES3 model, emissions of all of the priority MSATs decrease as speed increases. Appendix 3.15 presents information on the assessment of MSAT impacts, including information regarding the health impacts.

Regardless of the alternative chosen, the FHWA's *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents* indicates that emissions will likely be lower than present levels in future years as a result of USEPA national control programs. These programs are projected to reduce annual MSAT emissions by over 76% between 2020 and 2060, based on USEPA MOVES3 modeling.<sup>10</sup> Local conditions may differ from these national projections in terms of fleet mix and turnover, VMT growth rates, and local control measures. However, the magnitude of the USEPA-projected reductions is so significant (even after accounting for VMT growth) that MSAT emissions are anticipated to be lower in nearly all locations.<sup>11</sup>

Under each alternative, there may be localized areas where VMT would increase and others where VMT would decrease. Accordingly, it is possible that localized increases and decreases in MSAT

<sup>10</sup> Federal Highway Administration. January 2023. *Updated Interim Guidance on Mobile Source Air Toxic Analysis in NEPA Documents*.  
[https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air\\_quality/air\\_toxics/policy\\_and\\_guidance/msat/fhwa\\_nepa\\_msat\\_memorandum\\_2023.pdf](https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/air_quality/air_toxics/policy_and_guidance/msat/fhwa_nepa_msat_memorandum_2023.pdf).

<sup>11</sup> Ibid.



emissions may occur. But even if these increases do occur, they would be substantially reduced by USEPA vehicle and fuel regulations. Therefore, the Project would not result in adverse long-term air quality or energy impacts.

### 3.15.5 Construction Effects

Project construction would result in short-term increases in fugitive dust and mobile source exhaust emissions from construction vehicles and equipment. Additionally, construction would require short-term increases in energy consumption to power construction equipment, produce materials, and transport materials to the project site. Construction duration, methods, and activities would be similar for all of the Build Alternatives, resulting in similar emissions.

Airborne, visible fugitive dust during construction would be controlled at the project site by the contractor in accordance with the provisions of HAR Chapter 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust, HDOT's Standard Specifications, and HDOT's *Construction Best Management Practices Field Manual* (BMP SM-19).<sup>12</sup>

Exhaust emissions and energy consumption from construction vehicles and equipment would be reduced through the following control measures:

- Keeping construction equipment and vehicles properly tuned and maintained
- Avoiding idling of diesel equipment, particularly near the air intake of any building heating, ventilation, and air conditioning systems
- Avoiding the use and routing of construction equipment near residential areas and clusters of sensitive receptors like hospitals, schools, day care facilities, elderly housing, and convalescent facilities
- Timing the assembly of construction crews, equipment, and work to minimize conflicts with typical commuting hours

Increased truck traffic associated with the Lāhainā wildfire cleanup and recovery may coincide with construction. Due to uncertainty in the timing, duration, and magnitude of additional truck travel associated with cleanup and recovery, traffic volumes have not been estimated at this time. Truck traffic associated with the Lāhainā wildfire cleanup and recovery would remain on the existing highway—largely separated from project construction areas—and therefore would not result in additional localized air quality effects. Section 3.20, Summary of Independent Projects Occurring within a Similar Timeframe or Geography, includes more information.

Air quality and energy impacts from construction of the Project would be minor because the construction period would be limited, and impacts would be minimized by implementing the control measures described above. Additionally, Maui is in attainment for all criteria pollutants, and maximum pollutant concentrations measured at HDOH air monitoring stations are well below the SAAQS and NAAQS. As such, additional pollutants temporarily generated by construction would not cause an

---

<sup>12</sup> Hawai'i Department of Transportation. 2021. *Construction Best Management Practices Field Manual*. October.



exceedance of these standards. Therefore, construction of the Project would not result in adverse short-term air quality or energy impacts.

### **3.15.6 Reasonably Foreseeable Indirect Effects**

Air quality effects and energy demand from construction or future operation of the Project would not result in indirect effects that would create new or different air quality and energy impacts associated with future activities that could be generated over time. The Project would not create changes in regional travel demand or create new development opportunities tied to the highway project.

### **3.15.7 Mitigation**

No mitigation measures are proposed for any of the Build Alternatives because no violations of the NAAQS or SAAQS are anticipated, and the Project would not require substantial energy consumption. Air quality and energy mitigation measures for long-term, traffic-related impacts would be unnecessary and unwarranted because no significant variation of VMT, vehicle hours of travel, and vehicle mix would occur between the Build Alternatives. As described above, fugitive dust generated during construction of the Project would be controlled at the site of construction activity by the contractor and in accordance with the provisions of HAR Chapter 11-60.1-33, Fugitive Dust, HDOT's Standard Specifications, and HDOT's *Construction Best Management Practices Field Manual* (BMP SM-19). Exhaust emissions and energy consumption from construction vehicles and equipment would be reduced through implementation of the control measures listed in Section 3.15.5.

### **3.15.8 Build Alternatives Comparative Assessment**

The No Build Alternative and the Build Alternatives would not cause or exacerbate a violation of the SAAQS or NAAQS or require substantial energy consumption.

Air quality and energy impacts from construction activities associated with the Project would be similar for all of the Build Alternatives and would be minor because the construction period would be limited, and standard emission control measures would be implemented.

When compared to the No Build Alternative, the Build Alternatives would not result in any significant changes in traffic volumes, travel patterns, vehicle mix, or any other factor that would cause an increase in long-term regional emissions or energy consumption. With the exception of the two residences that would be acquired and removed as part of Build Alternative 4, the Build Alternatives would locate the roadway farther from the closest sensitive receptor and would reduce concentrations of traffic-related criteria pollutant and MSAT emissions when compared to the No Build Alternative. Air quality and energy impacts from operation would be similar for all of the Build Alternatives.

As described above, the Build Alternatives would improve the overall Level of Service and would not result in an adverse impact to air quality or result in a material change in energy consumption compared to the No Build Alternative.